LEGISLATION SCRUTINY BODY “CONCERNED” ABOUT MORRISON GOVERNMENT LAWS THAT SILENCE CHARITIES

Liberal Senator Concetta Fierravanti-Wells has written to Assistant Treasurer Michael Sukkar on behalf of the Senate Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation to express its concerns about the Morrison Government’s proposed changes to charities regulations.

The amendments hand the Charities Commissioner far-reaching powers to deregister charities for the most minor of offences – such as blocking a footpath at a vigil – or if he believes a minor offence may occur in the future.

Senator Fierravanti-Wells chairs the Committee, which assesses laws against a set of scrutiny principles, including compliance with statutory requirements and the protection of individual rights and liberties.

In her letter (attached) to Assistant Treasurer Sukkar, who is sponsoring changes to Governance Standard 3 of the Australian Charities and Not-for-profits Commission (ACNC) Regulations, 

Senator Fierravanti-Wells notes that the Government: "does not provide sufficient detail as to the scope of [the Charities Commissioner's] discretionary powers, their necessity, or any relevant limitations", and asks why it is appropriate and necessary to expand the Commissioner's discretion.

The Charities Commissioner, Gary Johns, has himself said under questioning in Senate Estimates that he has seen no evidence to support the changes to the regulations being necessary.

Senator Fierravanti-Wells further notes that the Government has failed to answer serious questions about the constitutionality of the regulations, and that the regulations may "infringe the implied freedom of political communication" in the Constitution.

The Committee's concerns reflect those of the Law Council of Australia, which says the laws “inhibit legitimate public dialogue by registered charities to the detriment of Australian representative democracy”, and others including top-tier law firm Arnold Bloch Leibler, which says that the regulations are “unconstitutional”, “unjustified” and “fundamentally inconsistent with our democratic system of government.”
An alliance of more than 30 of Australia’s most well-established and respected charities has today welcomed Senator Fierravante-Wells’ letter on behalf of the Committee. The alliance includes Amnesty International Australia, Baptist Care Australia, UnitingCare Australia, The Fred Hollows Foundation, Oxfam Australia, Save the Children Australia, WWF-Australia, Community Council for Australia, Community Broadcasting Association Australia, Asylum Seeker Resource Centre, Australian Marine Conservation Society, Alliance for Gambling Reform, YWCA, Environment Victoria, Volunteering Australia, Grata Fund, Australian Forests and Climate Alliance, Koala Action Inc, Adult Learning Australia, Australian Pro Bono Centre, Australian Youth Climate Coalition, Asylum Seekers Centre NSW, National Justice Project, Australian Council for International Development, Australian Council of Social Service, SA Council of Social Services, TAS Council of Social Services, Lock the Gate, Friends of the Earth, Australian Religious Response to Climate Change and the Australian Conservation Foundation.

The Reverend Tim Costello AO, chair of the Community Council for Australia and former CEO of World Vision Australia, said, “These anti-democratic regulations will silence legitimate advocacy by charities and the voices of the millions of people they represent, including the most vulnerable, undermining our freedom of speech.

“They have no parallel in business or any other sector of society. Giving the Charity Commissioner power to shutter a charity for a minor offence by a member is the equivalent of the Electoral Commissioner having discretion to deregister the Liberal Party because a party member damages someone’s lawn when putting up a sign.

“It is heartening to see that this important Committee shares the concerns of charities from across the sector, which have formed a broad alliance to condemn these egregious regulations. The Committee’s intervention is a clear signal that these laws are unprecedented and an unjustified regulatory overreach.

“The Government’s own expert review panel found in 2018 that ACNC Governance Standard 3 should be abandoned. The charity sector is speaking with one voice: these new laws must be scrapped.”

Dr Cassandra Goldie, CEO of the Australian Council of Social Service said: “These laws are an attack on charities and our democracy. Charities exist for the public benefit. We have worked tirelessly including through this pandemic to support people and to help keep communities safe. Throughout history, and today, we have also pushed for change when our communities need it.

A healthy democracy is one where communities and their charities are free to speak up and act in the interests of the people they represent and serve. It is the government’s role to support us. These regulations do exactly the opposite and they must be stopped. These regulations amount to an attack on our ability to perform our vital role in Australian society. We welcome the Committee raising its concerns, and the intervention by its Chair, Senator Fierravanti-Wells, at this time.”
NOTES TO EDS:

Proposed amendments to ACNC Regulations likely to be tabled in the Senate in August give the Charities Commissioner discretion to deregister a charity for the most minor of offences – for example, if a staff member blocks a footpath at a public vigil, even if the offence is unintentional.

The new laws also give the regulator extraordinary powers to arbitrarily shut down a charity if he believes it’s likely that a minor offence may occur in the future, or if he believes that something that could be dealt with as a minor offence has occurred, even if no charge has been made.

The Commissioner may also deregister a charity preemptively if he believes that it lacks “internal control procedures” to demonstrate its compliance with the laws, or that it has not adequately documented these procedures.

To prove compliance, charities’ time and donations will be tied up in unprecedented red tape and legal fees, depriving communities of vital support.

Explainer here.

More on the Hands off Our Charities Alliance here.

Enquiries after 11am: Annemarie Jonson 0428 278 880

//ENDS//
Dear Assistant Treasurer,

**Australian Charities and Not-for-profits Commission Amendment (2021 Measures No. 2) Regulations 2021 [F2021L00863]**

The Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation (the committee) assesses all disallowable legislative instruments against scrutiny principles outlined in Senate standing order 23. The committee has identified scrutiny concerns in relation to the above instrument, and the committee seeks your advice in relation to this matter.

**Conferral of discretionary powers**

Senate standing order 23(3)(c) requires the committee to scrutinise each instrument as to whether it makes rights, liberties, obligations or interests unduly dependent on insufficiently defined administrative powers. This includes where instruments confer discretionary powers on a person. In addition, Senate standing order 23(3)(e) requires the committee to scrutinise each instrument as to whether its drafting is defective or unclear.

The instrument amends the Australian Charities and Not-for-profits Commission Regulation 2013 (principal instrument) to alter governance standards relating to charities’ engagement in, or promotion of, certain unlawful activities. Registered entities must comply with the standards in order to qualify for certain exemptions, benefits and concessions under the Australian Charities and Not-for-profits Commission Act 2021 (ACNC Act). Failure to comply with the governance standards may result in revocation of the entity’s registration under section 35-10 of the ACNC Act and the exercise of certain enforcement powers under Part 4-2 of the ACNC Act.

The amendments made by the instrument appear to enable the Australian Charities and Not-for-profits Commission (ACNC) Commissioner to exercise a range of discretionary powers in determining whether a registered entity has failed to comply with the governance standards through engaging in, or promoting, unlawful conduct. For example, the instrument inserts new paragraph 45.15(2)(aa) into the principal instrument. This paragraph provides that registered entities must not engage in conduct, or omit to engage in conduct, if that conduct may be dealt with as a summary offence under Australian law and the offence relates to certain types of actions. While
the explanatory statement to the instrument provides some examples as to the type of offences to which this provision may apply, it is unclear what the full scope of the offences may be. In this regard, it appears that the ACNC Commissioner may exercise some discretion in determining what summary offences may be covered by paragraph 45.15(2)(aa). It is unclear why the specific offences are not set out on the face of the instrument, or whether there are any limitations on, or guidance in relation to, the exercise of this discretion.

Additionally, the instrument inserts a note to subsection 45.15(2) to the principal instrument. This note states that the ACNC Commissioner may consult with a law enforcement agency or other relevant entity in forming a reasonable belief about compliance with the governance standards under subsection 35-10(1) of ACNC Act. The explanatory statement explains that this is intended to ‘address general concerns from stakeholders about the ACNC Commissioner’s discretion and enforcement powers’. However, it does not provide guidance as to the scope of this discretion, such as the circumstances in which the ACNC Commissioner may seek such advice, or indicate which entities may be contacted.

Further, the instrument inserts new subsection 45.15(3) into the principal instrument. This provision requires registered entities to maintain reasonable internal control procedures to ensure that its resources are neither used nor continued to be used to actively promote another entity’s acts or omissions that may be dealt with under paragraphs 45.15(2)(a), (aa) or (b). Some examples of the types of internal procedures that a registered entity may maintain are set out on the face of the instrument. The explanatory statement further notes that ‘whether internal control procedures are reasonable in any particular case is to be determined objectively and will depend on the specific circumstances of the registered entity, including its size, purpose and activities’. It also explains that this is consistent with the requirements for external conduct standards. However, in the absence of further information in the explanatory statement, it is unclear what objective test will be applied in determining whether a registered entity has complied with the requirements in subsection 45.15(3).

The committee generally considers that instruments that confer discretionary powers on a person should clearly address the purpose and scope the discretion and why it is considered necessary in the explanatory statement. Additionally, the committee expects that the explanatory statement should explain the factors that must be considered in exercising the discretion, and the nature and source of any relevant limitations and safeguards, including whether they are contained in law or policy. In this instance, the committee considers that the explanatory statement does not provide sufficient detail as to the scope of these discretionary powers, their necessity, or any relevant limitations. The committee considers that such information is important to enable charities to clearly understand their obligations under the governance standards. The committee concerns are particularly amplified noting that the discretionary powers to be exercised by the Commissioner may relate to the determination of whether a criminal law has been breached.

In light of the matters outline above, the committee requests your detailed advice as to:

- why it is considered necessary and appropriate to expand the discretion that the ACNC Commissioner may exercise in determining whether a registered charity complies with the governance standards under section 45.15 of the principal instrument;
- the scope of the powers that the ACNC Commissioner may exercise under the instrument, including:
  - what specific summary offences that registered entities may not engage in under new paragraph 45.15(2)(aa);
  - the factors that the ACNC Commissioner must consider when determining when to seek advice from law enforcement agencies or other relevant entities in forming a
reasonable belief about compliance with the governance standards under section 35-10 of the ACNC Act;

• what objective test is applied to determine whether a registered entity has complied with the requirements of subsection 45.15(3); and

• the nature and source of any limitations or safeguards on the exercise of the ACNC Commissioner’s discretionary powers under section 45.15, including whether they are set out in law or policy.

Implied freedom of political communication

Senate standing order 23(3)(b) requires the committee to scrutinise each legislative instrument as to whether it appears to be supported by a constitutional head of legislative power and is otherwise constitutionally valid. This includes whether an instrument may restrict the implied freedom of political communication.

As outlined above, the instrument has the effect of preventing registered entities from engaging in or actively promoting certain kinds of unlawful activity as this may affect an entity’s entitlement to registration under the Act.

In the absence of contrary information in the explanatory statement to the instrument, it is unclear whether the instrument may limit registered entities’ implied freedom of political communication, by preventing them from engaging in, or supporting certain activities. This may include limiting their ability to engage in, or support, certain types of political protest.

The committee makes no judgement on the permissibility of limitations on the implied freedom, or on the constitutionality of the instrument, more broadly. However, from a scrutiny perspective, the committee generally expects that instruments which may have the potential to infringe the implied freedom of political communication should include an explanation of how the instrument does not impermissibly restrict the implied freedom in the explanatory statement.

The committee therefore requests your advice as to how the instrument is compliant with the implied freedom of political communication, and whether the explanatory statement can be amended to include this analysis.

The committee’s expectation is to receive a response in time for it to consider and report on the instrument while it is still subject to disallowance. If the committee has not concluded its consideration of an instrument before the expiry of the 15th sitting day after the instrument has been tabled in the Senate, the committee may give notice of a motion to disallow the instrument as a precautionary measure to allow additional time for the committee to consider information received.

Noting this, and to facilitate the committee’s consideration of the matters above, the committee would appreciate your response by 28 July 2021.

Finally, please note that, in the interests of transparency, this correspondence and your response will be published on the committee's website.
If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the committee's secretariat on (02) 6277 3066, or by email to sdlc.sen@aph.gov.au.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Yours sincerely,

Senator the Hon Concetta Fierravanti-Wells
Chair
Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation
14 July 2021

Senator the Hon Simon Birmingham
Minister for Finance
Parliament House
Canberra 2600 ACT

Via email: financeminister@finance.gov.au
CC: DLO-Finance@finance.gov.au

Dear Minister,

Financial Framework (Supplementary Powers) Amendment (Health Measures No. 1) Regulations 2021 [F2021L00290]

The Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation (the committee) assesses all disallowable legislative instruments against scrutiny principles outlined in Senate standing order 23. The committee has identified scrutiny concerns in relation to the above instrument, and the committee seeks your advice in relation to this matter.

Matters more appropriate for parliamentary enactment

Parliamentary oversight

Senate standing order 23(3)(j) requires the committee to consider whether an instrument contains matters more appropriate for parliamentary enactment, which should be included in primary, rather than delegated, legislation. In addition, Senate standing order 23(3)(k) requires the committee to consider whether an instrument complies with any other ground relating to the technical scrutiny of delegated legislation, including whether it is subject to sufficient parliamentary oversight.

The instrument amends the Financial Framework (Supplementary Powers) Regulations 1997 (FFSP Regulations) to include item 470 which establishes legislative authority for government spending of over $1.4 billion on the Commonwealth Disability Support for Older Australians (DSOA) Program.

The DSOA Program provides funding for disability services to individual older people with a disability who are ineligible for the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS). The explanatory statement to the instrument states that the DSOA Program is intended to deliver improved parity with the NDIS and a more client-centred program through:

- funding for disability services being better aligned with market pricing and nationally consistent across states and territories;
- moving all clients to individual support packages to refocus service delivery on individual client needs; and

...
• meeting the Commonwealth’s commitment to continue supporting the DSOA clients with complex needs to live at home or in a supported accommodation and to access increased support as their needs change.

By authorising government spending on the DSOA Program, the instrument deals with significant matters relating to Commonwealth disability support policy; however there is no detail as to how the program will operate on the face of the instrument.

The committee further understands that there is currently no other delegated legislation or primary legislation supporting or regulating the DSOA Program beyond table item 470 in Part 4 of Schedule 1AB to the FFSP Regulations.

Noting that the DSOA Program appears to be a significant element of Commonwealth disability support policy, the committee would appreciate your advice as to:

• why it is considered necessary and appropriate to provide for the DSOA program through regulations, rather than primary legislation; and

• whether consideration was given to establishing the DSOA program in primary legislation.

The committee's expectation is to receive a response in time for it to consider and report on the instrument while it is still subject to disallowance. If the committee has not concluded its consideration of an instrument before the expiry of the 15th sitting day after the instrument has been tabled in the Senate, the committee may give notice of a motion to disallow the instrument as a precautionary measure to allow additional time for the committee to consider information received.

Noting this, and to facilitate the committee's consideration of the matters above, the committee would appreciate your response by 28 July 2021.

Finally, please note that, in the interests of transparency, this correspondence and your response will be published on the committee's website.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the committee's secretariat on (02) 6277 3066, or by email to sdlc.sen@aph.gov.au.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Yours sincerely,

Senator the Hon Concetta Fierravanti-Wells
Chair
Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation
14 July 2021

Senator the Hon Richard Colbeck
Minister for Senior Australians and Aged Care Services
Parliament House
CANBERRA ACT 2600

Via email: Minister.Colbeck.DLO@health.gov.au
CC: parliamentary.committees@health.gov.au

Dear Minister,

Aged Care Legislation Amendment (Serious Incident Response Scheme) Instrument 2021 [F2021L00222]

Thank you for your response of 21 June 2021 to the Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation in relation to the above instrument. The committee considered your response at its private meeting on 14 July 2021 and has resolved to seek your further advice about the issues outlined below.

Significant matters in delegated legislation

Parliamentary oversight

Thank you for your advice that the overarching definition of 'reportable incident' is contained in primary legislation under subsection 54-3(2) of the Aged Care Act 1997 (the Act). You have advised that in most instances the definitions and clarifications of terms under section 15NA of the instrument are inclusive and do not override or modify the overarching definition in the Act. The only expression that is not inclusive is 'unexplained absence of a residential care recipient from the residential care services of the provider' under new subsection 15NA(11).

Paragraph 54-3(2)(h) of the Act provides that a reportable incident includes an 'unexplained absence of the residential care recipient from the residential care services of the provider'. The instrument provides that the expression in paragraph 54-3(2)(h) instead means 'an absence of the residential care recipient from the residential care services in circumstances where there are reasonable grounds to report the absence to police'. This is a significant modification to the provision in the Act. The committee has long been concerned with provisions in delegated legislation which modify the operation of primary legislation, particularly where those modifications appear to substantially depart from the original provision.

In relation to subsection 15NB of the instrument, which modifies the definition of 'reportable incident' by setting out what is not a reportable incident, you have advised that these modifications are included in delegated legislation to allow flexibility in tailoring the operation of the scheme and allowing for unintended consequences to be addressed. You have also advised that subsection 15NB(2) will be revised in July 2021 to align with other changes.
The committee appreciates the further information about the inclusion of subsection 15NB in delegated legislation. However, the committee considers that subsection 15NB(3), which provides that 'an incident is not a reportable incident if the incident results from the residential care recipient deciding to refuse to receive care or services offered by the approved provider', significantly impacts on the definition of 'reportable incident'. For this reason, the committee remains concerned about its inclusion in delegated, rather than primary, legislation.

The committee is particularly concerned that the significant modifications to the primary legislation in subsection 15NA(11) and 15NB(3) of the instrument have been made within months of the passage of the Aged Care Legislation Amendment (Serious Incident Response Scheme and Other Measures) Bill 2020 through the Parliament, and the commencement of the Act on 1 April 2021. It is not clear to the committee why these provisions were not included on the face of the bill.

The committee therefore requests your advice as to:

- whether the modification to the definition of 'reportable incident' in subsection 15NA(11) of the instrument can be provided for in the Aged Care Act 1997, rather than in delegated legislation; and

- whether the exception to the definition of 'reportable incident' in subsection 15NB(3) of the instrument can be provided for in the Aged Care Act 1997, rather than in delegated legislation.

The committee's expectation is to receive a response in time for it to consider and report on the instrument while it is still subject to disallowance. If the committee has not concluded its consideration of an instrument before the expiry of the 15th sitting day after the instrument has been tabled in the Senate, the committee may give notice of a motion to disallow the instrument as a precautionary measure to allow additional time for the committee to consider information received.

Noting this, and to facilitate the committee’s consideration of the matters above, the committee would appreciate your response by 28 July 2021.

Finally, please note that, in the interests of transparency this correspondence and your response will be published on the committee’s website.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the committee's secretariat on (02) 6277 3066, or by email to sdlc.sen@aph.gov.au.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Yours sincerely,

Senator the Hon Concetta Fierravanti-Wells
Chair
Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation
14 July 2021

Senator the Hon Simon Birmingham
Minister for Finance
Parliament House
CANBERRA ACT 2600

Via email: financeminister@finance.gov.au
CC: DLO-Finance@finance.gov.au

Dear Minister,

**Financial Framework (Supplementary Powers) Amendment (Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communications Measures No. 2) Regulations 2021 [F2021L00409]**

Thank you for your response of 9 July 2021 to the Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation in relation to the above instrument. The committee considered your response at its private meeting on Wednesday, 14 July 2021 and has resolved to seek your further advice about the issues outlined below.

**Parliamentary oversight**

Thank you for your advice that grant agreements executed under the Tourism Aviation Network Support (TANS) program provide for a total funding amount of $210,740,137.70 (GST inclusive) over 2020-21 and 2021-22.

The committee acknowledges your advice that the government did not disclose the funding commitment to the TANS program when the instrument was introduced, or subsequently in the Budget papers, pending the outcome of the grant process and subsequent commercial negotiation of program costs with airlines.

In light of the fact that the funding amount is now available, and noting the importance of explanatory materials as a point of access to understanding the law for parliamentarians, courts and members of the public, the committee requests that the explanatory statement to the instrument be amended to include this information.

The committee's expectation is to receive a response in time for it to consider and report on the instrument while it is still subject to disallowance. If the committee has not concluded its consideration of an instrument before the expiry of the 15th sitting day after the instrument has been tabled in the Senate, the committee may give notice of a motion to disallow the instrument as a precautionary measure to allow additional time for the committee to consider information received.

Noting this, and to facilitate the committee's consideration of the matters above, the committee would appreciate your response by **28 July 2021**.
Finally, please note that, in the interests of transparency this correspondence and your response will be published on the committee's website.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the committee's secretariat on (02) 6277 3066, or by email to sdlc.sen@aph.gov.au.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Yours sincerely,

Senator the Hon Concetta Fierravanti-Wells  
Chair  
Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation
15 July 2021

The Hon Paul Fletcher MP
Minister for Communications, Urban Infrastructure, Cities and the Arts
Parliament House
CANBERRA ACT 2600

Via email:  dlo@communications.gov.au

Dear Minister,


Thank you for your response of 30 June 2021 to the Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation, in relation to the above instrument.

The committee considered your response at its private meeting on Wednesday 14 July 2021.

You confirmed that the instrument is subject to the standard sunsetting provisions of the Legislation Act 2003 and explained that the provision in section 4 of the instrument has been included to provide for the repeal of the instrument in the unlikely event that the standard sunsetting provisions no longer apply.

The committee welcomes the undertaking made by the Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA) to lodge an updated explanatory statement to include this clarification. Further, the committee notes your advice that the ACMA will review the need for similar repeal provisions in future instruments.

On the basis of your advice, the committee has concluded its examination of the instrument.

In the interests of transparency, I note that this correspondence will be published on the committee's website and recorded in the Delegated Legislation Monitor.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Yours sincerely,

Senator the Hon Concetta Fierravanti-Wells
Chair
Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation
15 July 2021

The Hon Ken Wyatt AM MP  
Minister for Indigenous Australians  
Parliament House  
CANBERRA ACT 2600

Via email: DLOwyatt@pmc.gov.au

Dear Minister,

Registered Native Title Bodies Corporate Legislation Amendment Regulations 2021 [F2021L00292]

Thank you for your response of 30 June 2021 to the Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation, in relation to the above instrument.

The committee considered your response at its private meeting on Wednesday 14 July 2021. On the basis of your undertaking to amend the explanatory statement to include further information on privacy protections, the committee has concluded its examination of the instrument.

In the interests of transparency, I note that this correspondence will be published on the committee's website and recorded in the Delegated Legislation Monitor.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Yours sincerely,

Senator the Hon Concetta Fierravanti-Wells  
Chair  
Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation
15 July 2021

Senator the Hon Anne Ruston
Minister for Families and Social Services
Parliament House
CANBERRA ACT 2600

Via email: dlos@dss.gov.au

Dear Minister,

Student Assistance Regulations 2021 [F2021L00201]

Thank you for your response of 6 July 2021 to the Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation, in relation to the above instrument.

The committee considered your response at its private meeting on Wednesday 14 July 2021. The committee welcomes your constructive engagement with its scrutiny concerns and your undertakings to amend both the instrument and its explanatory statement. The committee notes that you have undertaken to:

- amend sections 5, 6, 13, 16, 19 and 20 where possible to include definitions which are currently in external ABSTUDY policy, and amend the explanatory statement;
- remove the term ‘likely’ in paragraphs 14(1)(c), 16(1)(d) and (e) and replace it with the term ‘will’, and amend the explanatory statement;
- remove the term ‘reasonably’ from subsections 19(3)-(5) and 27(3), and amend the explanatory statement;
- amend paragraph 26(a) to insert an awareness requirement, and amend the explanatory statement; and
- repeal Part 3 of the instrument.

In light of these undertakings and the information provided in your response, the committee has concluded its examination of the instrument.

In the interests of transparency, I note that this correspondence will be published on the committee's website and recorded in the Delegated Legislation Monitor.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Yours sincerely,

Senator the Hon Concetta Fierravanti-Wells
Chair
Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Delegated Legislation